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#### Abstract

The crystal structure of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ has been investigated by X-ray powder diffraction and single-crystal diffractometry. There are strong indications that $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ crystallizes with the anti- $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ type structure (C 35), space group Pnnm (No. 58), $a=4.6918(4) \AA, b=5.1271(4) \AA$, and $c=3.1096(3) \AA$, but the extent to which the boron atoms assume ordered positions cannot be determined precisely with X-ray diffraction methods alone.


## Introduction

Stenberg reported the occurrence of three intermediate phases in the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{B}$ system (1) and determined the structures of the most metal-rich phases, namely, $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}_{5} \mathrm{~B}_{2}$. In the present paper we report the results from an X-ray investigation of the third phase of composition $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$. On reinspection of Stenberg's unpublished material (deposited at this institute), we found that his X -ray data for the third phase are in complete agreement with our own for $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$. Stenberg had even indexed the powder pattern with cell dimensions in agreement with those reported here.

## Experimental

Preparation and phase analysis. Several alloys in the nominal composition range $\mathrm{Pd}_{0.74} \mathrm{~B}_{0.26}-\mathrm{Pd}_{0.33} \mathrm{~B}_{0.67}$ were prepared by arc-melting pellets of palladium powder (claimed purity, $99.8 \%$; Heraeus, Hanau, Germany) and crystalline boron powder (analyzed purity, $98.9 \%$ boron, with $0.3 \%$ iron as the main metallic impurity; H. C. Starck, Goslar, Germany). The alloys were
examined in a Guinier-Hägg focusing powder camera equipped with a germanium monochromator yielding $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha_{1}$ radiation. The phase studied gave a powder pattern with very broad and diffuse reflections, indicating nonhomogeneity and/or distorted crystals (the boride is ductile). The samples were therefore heat-treated for 1 week at $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an atmosphere of $13-\mathrm{kPa}$ argon (claimed purity, minimum $99.998 \%$; ALFAX AB, Malmö, Sweden) using crucibles of boron nitride. No changes in the powder films were obtained. After pulverization, the samples were stressrelieved for 15 min (conditions as above) and then gave sharp reflections. All heat treatments were performed in silica-free furnaces, in order to avoid interchange between boron and silicon.

Two specimens, $\quad \mathrm{Pd}_{0.67} \mathrm{~B}_{0.33}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}_{0.62} \mathrm{~B}_{0.38}$, only gave reflections from $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$, while the more boron-rich specimens also gave reflections of $\beta$-rhombohedral boron, probably with small amounts of dissolved palladium. The specimen of nominal composition $\mathrm{Pd}_{0.74} \mathrm{~B}_{0.26}$ gave only powder lines of $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$. The two-phase equilibrium $\mathrm{Pd}_{5} \mathrm{~B}_{2}+\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ was obtained in a powdered
sample, which was stress-relieved in a vacuum furnace at $530^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 30 min . However, $\mathrm{Pd}_{5} \mathrm{~B}_{2}$ was not obtained at $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (as reported by Stenberg (1)), which might indicate that it is stable only at lower temperatures. The weight losses during the arc-melting and the heat treatment were, on the average, $1.2 \%$ for samples of about 1.2 g . For the $\mathrm{Pd}_{0.55} \mathrm{~B}_{0.45}$ sample, which besides the $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ pattern also gave $\beta$-boron reflections, the weight loss was $1.26 \%$. If this weight loss is solely due to palladium, the composition is changed insignificantly. Thus, this investigation clearly indicates a lower boron content for the investigated phase than the equiatomic composition.

The cell dimensions were determined using silicon ( $a=5.431065 \AA$ ) (2) as the internal calibration standard. The method of
least squares was adopted in the refinements of the cell dimensions. No significant cell volume variation was observed, indicating that the homogeneity range is small. The powder intensities were measured with a SAAB Mark II film scanner (3, 4). To facilitate identification of the phase, X-ray powder data are presented in Table I.

Single-crystal work. Fragments from the two crushed alloys $\mathrm{Pd}_{0.67} \mathrm{~B}_{0.33}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}_{0.62} \mathrm{~B}_{0.38}$ were examined using a Weissenberg singlecrystal camera. Since the phase is ductile, the fragments gave very diffuse X-ray reflections together with satellite spots. A fragment of nominal composition $\mathrm{Pd}_{0.62} \mathrm{~B}_{0.38}$ was heattreated at $840^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 hr under pure argon together with powder of the same nominal composition. After the heat treatment, this fragment gave fairly sharp reflections, but

TABLE I
Powder Diffraction Data ( $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha_{1}$ Radiation) for $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}^{a-c}$

| $h k l$ | $d_{\text {obs }}(\AA)$ | $Q \cdot 10^{5}\left(\AA^{-2}\right)$ |  | Intensity |  | $h k l$ | $d_{\text {obs }}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { ( }\end{array}\right)$ | $Q \cdot 10^{5}\left(\AA^{-2}\right)$ |  | Intensity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Obs. | Calc. | Obs. | Calc. |  |  | Obs. | Calc. | Obs. | Calc. |
| $110^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | 8,347 | <1 | 0.39 | $230^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | 52,408 | - | 0.00 |
| 011 | 2.659 | 14,146 | 14,145 | 36 | 30 | 311 | 1.348 | 55,013 | 55,030 | 35 | 24 |
| $101{ }^{\text {d }}$ | - | , | 14,884 | <3 | 0.14 | 320 | 1.335 | 56,113 | 56,101 | 21 | 15 |
| 020 | 2.564 | 15,214 | 15,216 | 7 | 5 | 022 | 1.329 | 56,620 | 56,582 | 7 | 2 |
| 200 | 2.347 | 18,152 | 18,170 | 41 | 35 | 202 | 1.296 | 59,541 | 59,536 | 18 | 16 |
| 111 | 2.314 | 18,682 | 18,688 | 100 | 100 | 040 | 1.282 | 60,861 | 60,865 | 9 | 4 |
| 120 | 2.250 | 19,758 | 19,759 | 60 | 58 | 122 | 1.279 | 61,147 | 61,125 | 34 | 29 |
| $210^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | 21,975 | - | 0.00 | 231 | 1.262 | 62,754 | 62,749 | 37 | 29 |
| $121{ }^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | 30,101 | $\ll 1$ | 0.08 | $212^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | 63,342 | - | 0.00 |
| 211 | 1.759 | 32,314 | 32,316 | 31 | 23 | 140 | 1.236 | 65,418 | 65,408 | 10 | 8 |
| 220 | 1.731 | 33,387 | 33,387 | 8 | 5 | $321{ }^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | 66,443 | <1 | 0.03 |
| $130^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | 38,780 | - | 0.03 | 400 | 1.173 | 72,693 | 72,683 | 10 | 9 |
| 002 | 1.555 | 41,348 | 41,366 | 17 | 13 | 222 | 1.157 | 74,748 | 74,753 | 6 | 5 |
| $221{ }^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | 43,729 | - | 0.00 | $330^{\text {d }}$ | - | , | 75,122 | - | 0.02 |
| ${ }^{031}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.498 | 44,582 | 44,578 | 25 | 22 | $141^{d}$ | - | - | 75,751 | - | 0.03 |
| $310^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | 44,689 | - | 0.02 | $410^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | 76,489 | - | 0.00 |
| 131 | 1.427 | 49,120 | 49,121 | 2 | 2 | 240 | 1.125 | 79,016 | 79,036 | 8 | 10 |
| $112^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | 49,714 | - | 0.04 | $132^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | 80,147 | - | 0.03 |
| $301{ }^{\text {d }}$ | - | - | 51,227 | - | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]the number of satellite reflections had increased considerably. The fragment was therefore treated in aqua regia for about 15 sec . This treatment improved the Weissenberg zero-level photograph considerably, so that the crystal fragment could be used for intensity data collection.

The intensities were measured with a StoePhilips computer-controlled four-circle single-crystal diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator to yield $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha$ radiation. An $\omega-2 \theta$ step scan technique was used, and three test reflections were measured at regular intervals to check the crystal orientation and instrumental stability. Up to an angular limit of $2 \theta=60^{\circ}$, all reflections corresponding to a primitive lattice were measured. Since on inspection of the intensity data, the noncentered reflections were found to have insignificant intensities, it was decided to measure centered reflections only during the remaining data collection. For $60^{\circ}<2 \theta<120^{\circ}$, all reflections except those inaccessible by the geometrical limitations of the instrument were measured, corresponding to the intervals $-10 \leqslant h \leqslant 6,-12 \leqslant k \leqslant 12$, and $-7 \leqslant$ $l \leqslant 7$. A total number of 1610 reflections was measured, of which 333 were symmetry independent (centered reflections only).

The calculations were performed on IBM 1800 , NORD-10, and IBM 370/155 computers using crystallographic programs described in Ref. 5. The single-crystal intensities were corrected for Lp and absorption effects, using Gaussian grid technique. The shape of the crystal was irregular and the size was rather small, so that an examination by scanning electron microscopy was made in order to get a good geometrical description. The crystal, being wedge shaped with a maximum extension of about $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, was approximated to a polyhedron with 10 boundary planes and a calculated volume of $1.3 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$. The transmission factor varied between 0.53 and
0.77 for a calculated linear absorption coefficient of $228 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## Structure Determination

The palladium atom arrangement is centered, since the single-crystal data exhibit the systematic absences $k+l$ odd for $h k l$ and $h$ odd for $h 0 l$ reflections. The possible space groups are then Ama 2, Ama 2 ${ }_{1}$, and Amam. Amam was chosen for the calculations.

The only possible palladium position in the space group assumed is the $4(c)$ position. The other two four-fold sites can be disregarded since they would give too short distances of $2.3459 \AA(=a / 2)$. The only possible boron position is $4(a)$. This structure proposal involves two nonequivalent $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{B}$ distances, and by putting these two equal, one obtains a $y$ coordinate of 0.342 for Pd. A preliminary refinement was made on powder data with the calculated $y$ coordinate as the initial value. One scale factor, one positional parameter, and two temperature factors were varied, and the refinement terminated with a conventional agreement factor of $8.6 \%$ for 21 reflections. The $y$ coordinate remained unchanged. Full occupancy was assumed for the two atomic positions.

The initial single-crystal refinement of the structure, based on $F$ and on averaged absorption-corrected single-crystal data, converged to $R(F)=0.032$. The atomic scattering factors (6) used were corrected for anomalous dispersion (7). For the strongest reflections, $F_{0}$ was systematically lower than $F_{\mathrm{c}}$, and the temperature factor for the boron atom was very high. Refinement of the occupancy factor for the boron position and introduction of anisotropic temperature factors gave the residual $R(F)=0.020$. In order to make a proper extinction correction, the whole material was included, resulting in $R(F)=0.022 \quad$ (1610 reflections) when refining on $F^{2}$. The maximum extinction correction was $32 \%$. The occupancy of the boron position was refined to $48.4(1.4) \%$.

The internal consistency factor for sym-metry-related reflections (defined analogously to the conventional agreement factor), which had decreased from 2.53 to 2.19 after the absorption correction, was now reduced to $1.71 \%$. In the following refinements based on $F^{2}$ and on averaged extinction-corrected data, the occupancy of the boron position was set to $50 \%$. One scale factor, one positional parameter, anisotropic temperature factors for palladium, and an isotropic temperature factor for boron were refined, yielding $R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.024, R_{w}\left(F^{2}\right)=$ 0.030 , and $R(F)=0.019$ (333 reflections). The agreement factors given above are defined as

$$
R\left(F^{n}\right)=\sum\left\|F_{0}^{n}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}^{n} \| / \sum\right| F_{0}^{n}\right|\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
R_{w}\left(F^{n}\right)=\left[\sum w\left(\left|F_{0}^{n}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}^{n}\right|\right)^{2} / \sum w\left|F_{0}^{n}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
n=1 \text { or } 2 .
\end{array}
$$

In the last formula $w$ denotes the individual weight of each reflection, defined as

$$
w^{-1}=\sigma_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}+\left(p_{n} \cdot F_{0}^{n}\right)^{2} .
$$

$\sigma_{c}$ is the standard deviation of $F_{0}^{n}$ based on counting statistics, and $p_{n}$ modifies the weight to account for systematic errors. $p_{n}$ was selected to make the weighting scheme as even as possible, and the value chosen for $p_{2}$ was 0.027 .

A $\Delta R$ plot (8) after the refinement of the whole data set ( 1610 reflections) gave a leastsquares line with a slope of 0.75 and an intercept of -0.10 in the range of $\pm 4$ around the mean ( 17 reflections outside).

In the refinement described, the boron atoms were randomly distributed on the available boron positions in an orthorhombic distortion of the L'3 type of structure. The question of ordering has, however, to be considered. Yvon et al. (9) presented various ordering schemes for filling octahedral holes
in hexagonal close-packed structures, as well as orthorhombic distortions of such structures. Using this information, structure factors and powder intensities were calculated for four different types of ordering, namely, anti- $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$, deformed anti- $\mathrm{CdI}_{2}, \zeta-$ $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$, and $\xi-\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{C}$. As in the case of carbides $(9,10)$, neutron diffraction has to be used in order to solve the problem of ordering unambiguously, but this technique would, in the case of borides, require the use of boron enriched with ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ (11).

A reinvestigation of the powder data strongly indicated that the structure is of the anti- $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ type. Among the superstructure reflections expected for an anti- $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$-type ordering, the four strongest could indeed be observed in heavily overexposed powder films (see Table I). For the strongest reflection, (110), the visually estimated intensity agreed within $20 \%$ with the value calculated for the anti- $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$-type ordering. The single-crystal data also supported the assumed ordering hypothesis, the intensities for the strongest superstructure reflections exceeding the background by $6 \sigma$. However, since the effects of multiple reflection might well be of the same magnitude, the powder diffraction data probably give more reliable information regarding the ordering.

The ordered anti- $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$-type structure crystallizes with Pnnm symmetry (12). Attempts to refine the structure by the leastsquares method assuming this symmetry invariably failed, indicating that the palladium positions deviate insignificantly from the centered arrangement. This supports indirectly the interpretation of the superstructure reflections made above, since their intensities depend solely on the boron atom ordering and not on any deviation from centering for the palladium atoms.

In Table II, the structure data are accordingly presented in terms of Pnnm space group symmetry, although the values were actually obtained from refinements in the space group Amam. Observed and
calculated structure factors have been reported elsewhere. ${ }^{1}$

## Description and Discussion of the Structure

The structure of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ can be described as an orthorhombically distorted hexagonal close-packing of palladium atoms, with boron atoms filling half of the octahedral holes in an ordered manner. The ordering corresponds to the anti- $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$-type structure. The unit cell dimensions are $a=$ 4.6918(4) $\AA, \quad b=5.1271(4) \AA, \quad$ and $c=$ $3.1096(3) \AA$. The deviation from hexagonal symmetry for $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ is $4.8 \%$, if defined as $\left[\left(c \cdot 3^{1 / 2}-b\right) / c \cdot 3^{1 / 2}\right] \cdot 100 \%$. The $a$ axis corresponds to the hexagonal $c$ axis. A literature survey of compounds crystallizing in the C35-type structure has been made. All representatives found have been collected in Table III, which also gives the cell dimensions, the deviations from hexagonal symmetry, and the references. As seen from the table, only two ionic compounds isostructural to $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ are known. Furthermore, four C35 anti-type metallic compounds are

[^1]known, namely, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$, and $\eta$ $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{C}$. Although discovered some time ago, the C35-type structure thus has very few representatives. $\mathrm{PtGe}_{2}$ was also reported to be of the $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ type, but was later corrected by the same author (17) to be of the löllingite type, which was corroborated in the present investigation.

A stereoscopic view of the structure is shown in Fig. 1. The boron atom is surrounded by six palladium atoms, situated at the corners of a slightly deformed octahedron. The palladium atoms are surrounded by 12 other palladium atoms and by boron atoms situated at three of the corners of a triangular prism. It is very likely that this ordering is responsible for the deviation from hexagonal symmetry.

The interatomic distances in $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ are presented in Table IV. The $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{B}$ distances, 2.104 and $2.122 \AA$, are very short compared to the atomic radius sum of $2.25 \AA$. Other platinum metal borides also have short metal-boron distances, for instance, $\mathrm{IrB}_{0.9}(\mathrm{t})$ (18), with Ir-B distances of $2.11_{3}$ and $2.13_{2} \AA$ (radius sum $=2.24 \AA$ ). Another example is $\operatorname{IrB}_{\sim 1.35}(19)$, where the shortest $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{B}$ distances are between 2.04 and $2.07 \AA$. However, one must keep in mind that in most of these cases, the boron sites are only

TABLE II
Structure Data for $\operatorname{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}^{a-d}$

| Atom | Position | Positional parameters |  |  | $U_{i j} \cdot 10^{5}\left(\AA^{2}\right)^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ |
| Pd | 4(g) | 1/4 | 0.34489(3) | 0 | 700(5) | 798(5) | 675(5) |
| B | 2(a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | - ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Refinement based on $F^{2}$.
${ }^{b}$ Space group Pnnm (No. 58).
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Standard deviations are given in parentheses.
${ }^{d}$ Full occupancy for both atoms.
${ }^{e}$ The anisotropic temperature factor is of the form: $\exp \left[-2 \pi^{2}\left(U_{11} h^{2} a^{* 2}+\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.U_{22} k^{2} b^{* 2}+U_{33} l^{2} c^{* 2}\right)\right] ; \quad U_{12}=U_{13}=U_{23}=0$.
${ }^{f} U_{\text {iso }}=90(6) \cdot 10^{-4} \AA^{2}$.

TABLE III
Survey of Compounds Crystallizing with the C35-Type Structure

|  | Cell dimensions $(\AA)$ |  |  | Deviation from <br> hexagonal symmetry <br> $(\%)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compound | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | Reference |  |
| $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ | 6.24 | 6.43 | 4.20 | 11.6 | $(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{CaBr}_{2}$ | $6.584 \pm 6$ | $6.871 \pm 6$ | $4.342 \pm 4$ | 8.6 | $(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{YbBr}_{2}$ | $6.63(2)$ | $6.93(2)$ | $4.37(2)$ | 8.4 | $(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ | $4.3707 \pm 10$ | $4.4465 \pm 10$ | $2.8969 \pm 5$ | 11.4 | $(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | $4.3443 \pm 10$ | $4.6056 \pm 10$ | $2.8535 \pm 5$ | 6.8 | $(12)$ |
| $\eta-\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ | $4.318 \pm 5$ | $4.704 \pm 16$ | $2.830 \pm 6$ | 4.0 | $(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ | $4.6918(4)$ | $5.1271(4)$ | $3.1096(3)$ | 4.8 | This work |



Fig. 1. Stereoscopic view of the structure of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$.
partially occupied. There are no B-B contacts in the $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ structure.
It is interesting to note the increasing mean Pd-Pd distance with increasing boron content for the phases in the binary system: $\mathrm{Pd}-2.75 \AA, \quad \mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{~B}-2.84 \AA, \quad \mathrm{Pd}_{5} \mathrm{~B}_{2}-$ $2.85 \AA$, and $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}-2.98 \AA$.

TABLE IV
Interatomic Distances in $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$
( $\AA$ Units) ${ }^{a, b}$

| $\mathrm{Pb}-2 \mathrm{~B}$ | 2.104 | $\mathrm{~B}-4 \mathrm{Pd}$ | 2.104 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 B | 2.122 | -2 Pd | 2.122 |
| -2 Pd | 2.834 |  |  |
| -4 Pd | 2.978 |  |  |
| -4 Pd | 2.998 |  |  |
| -2 Pd | 3.110 |  |  |

[^2]
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[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Soace group Pnnm (No. 58).
    ${ }^{b}$ Cell dimensions: $a=4.6918(4) \AA, b=5.1271$ (4) $\AA$, and $c=3.1096(3) \AA$.
    ${ }^{c}$ Cell volume: $74.80(1) \AA^{3} . Z=2 ; D_{\text {calc }}=9.93 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$.
    ${ }^{d}$ Superstructure reflection.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ See NAPS document No. 03415 for two pages of supplementary material. Order from ASIS/NAPS, c/o Microfiche Publications, P.O. Box 3513, Grand Central Station, New York, N.Y. 10017.

[^2]:    ${ }^{a}$ Distances shorter than $3.5 \AA$ are listed. No boronboron contacts exist.
    ${ }^{b}$ The estimated deviations are all less than $0.001 \AA$.

